

A STABILIZATION SYSTEM FOR IMPROVING THE MELT VISCOSITY OF POLYPROPYLENE DURING FIBER PROCESSING

Technical Field

[0001] This invention relates to a stabilization system for improving the melt viscosity of polypropylene ("PP") resin during fiber processing. Specifically, this invention relates to the use of liquid phosphite during fiber processing to provide more uniform control of degradation.

Background of the Invention

[0002] In conventional fiber production processes, polypropylene (PP) fibers are produced by first feeding PP powder or pellets to an extruder which melts the resin and blends it with pigments and other additives. The molten resin is forced through a many-holed die ("spinneret") as continuous strands are air-quenched and then drawn to the final fiber diameter either by mechanical draw or by forced air. The fiber production process induces significant degradation of the PP resin. The extrusion is typically conducted at high temperature to minimize die pressure and maximize throughput rate. The thermal degradation is exacerbated by the shear of mixing and more so by the high shear associated with forcing molten resin through the small orifices of the spinneret. Additional degradation takes place as the resin is quenched from high temperature in an air current.

[0003] A key to successful fiber production is maintaining a consistent melt viscosity upstream of the spinneret throughout the production campaign. Variations in melt viscosity may cause process upsets ranging from spinneret over-pressuring to filament breaks. These upsets represent lost production time and often unacceptable cost for the fiber producer. Variations in melt viscosity can also lead to the use of excessive stabilizer concentrations, which result in high costs and poor fiber quality.

[0004] Conventional PP resin fiber production methods control melt viscosity upstream of the spinneret by dry-blending granular or powder melt processing stabilizers with PP resin powder prior to extrusion. It is known to dry-blend PP powder with tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite. Tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite is a processing stabilizer commercially available from Ciba

Brief Description of the Drawings

[0010] For the present invention to be easily understood and readily practiced, the present invention will now be described, for purposes of illustration and not limitation, wherein:

[0011] Figure 1 shows a plot of MFI versus tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and trisnonylphenol phosphite levels following the zero pass of a multipass extrusion experiment.

[0012] Figure 2 shows a plot of MFI versus tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and trisnonylphenol phosphite levels following the 1st pass of a multipass extrusion experiment.

[0013] Figure 3 shows the melt flow measured for fibers produced at various quench air speeds (reported as potentiometer settings).

[0014] Figure 4 shows the tenacity measured for fibers produced at the various quench air speeds.


[0015] Figure 5 shows the melt flow measured for fibers produced at various quench air speeds (reported as potentiometer settings.)

[0016] Figure 6 shows the tenacity measured for fibers produced at the various quench air speeds.

Detailed Description of the Invention

[0017] The present invention stabilization system consists of a phenolic anti-oxidant and a liquid phosphite dissolved or dispersed in mineral oil or another liquid carrier and applied to PP powder prior to extrusion and/or fiber processing. The liquid phosphite, chemical name trisnonylphenol phosphite, is a clear liquid. The chemical structure of trisnonylphenol phosphite is shown below. Trisnonylphenol phosphite is commercially available from Dover Chemicals under the tradename Doverphos HiPure 4.

Trisnonylphenol phosphite



CAS Number: 26523-78-4
Appearance: Clear Liquid
Molecular Weight: 688 g/mol
% Phosphorus: 4.3
Density, lb./gal: 8.2
Viscosity, cps@25°C: 7,800

[0018] The following describes experiments conducted and evaluations made of the present liquid phosphite stabilization system. Mineral oil solubility tests, multi-pass extrusion studies, and fiber spinning experiments were conducted.

Experimental

Mineral oil solubility test

[0019] Trisnonylphenol phosphite and mineral oil were heated in separate graduated cylinders in an oil bath at 106.7°C for 11.5 hours. They were then combined and monitored over time for phase separation and/or color change.

[0020] There were no observable changes in color or phase morphology upon mixing the liquid trisnonylphenol phosphite and mineral oil. This apparent solubility implies that trisnonylphenol phosphite could be mixed with mineral oil and applied directly to powders during plant production. This application method is expected to provide improved mixing of phosphite with polypropylene homopolymer.

Multi-pass extrusion tests

[0021] Powders were dry blended with various levels of the liquid additive or dry additive and then run under standard multi-pass conditions. The conditions are shown below in Table I. For each sample (at each quench rate) fiber MFI and tenacity were measured. In addition, maximum spin

speed on the Hills line was measured at a quench air setting of 600 using the processing conditions reported in Table I.

Table I Fiber Processing Conditions

Extruder	290C
Die	302 hole, round
take-up Godet speed	1050 mpm
Denier	2.8 target
Quench Temp	60 F
Throughput	0.39 ghm
Quench Air Speeds	0, 200, 400, 600, 800

The results of the multi-pass extrusion tests are shown in Table II.

**Table II
Multipass Extrusion Results**

	Melt Flows measured at 232°C		
	Pass 0	Pass 1	Pass 3
polypropylene homopolymer powder	10.0	19.8	63.7
polypropylene homopolymer + 500 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite	8.6	15.9	48.5 (foamed)
polypropylene homopolymer + 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite	7.8	16.7	54.1

[0022] As usual, the zero pass was run on the 1.5" Wayne extruder and the subsequent passes were run on the 1.0" extruder. It may be noted that on the 3rd pass, the sample containing trisnonylphenol phosphite foamed. The polymer MFI was obtained by taking the foamed pellets and drying them before MFI evaluation.

[0023] As previously mentioned, initial multipass extrusion results are given in Table II. These results indicate that addition of 500 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite helps to control the MFI. In fact, the addition of 500 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite was shown to control the MFI as well as known tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite.

[0024] Because the final melt flow index of the product polypropylene at customer sites is oftentimes approximately 15 MFI, the Table II results were promising. These results show that trisnonylphenol phosphite provides a lower MFI than the zero pass. Therefore, additional designed experiments were performed to map out the experimental space using trisnonylphenol phosphite and tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite in the ranges of 0-500 ppm. These results are detailed in Table III.

Table III
DOE results for the tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and trisnonylphenol phosphite study

Factor A: tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl) phosphite	Factor B: trisnonylphenol phosphite	Response MFI (0 Pass)	Response MFI (1 Pass)
250.00	250.00	8.6	15.7
0.00	500.00	8.6	15.9
0.00	0.00	10.2	23.2
500.00	0.00	7.8	16.7
0.00	250.00	8.9	18.3
250.00	0.00	9.1	19.1
500.00	500.00	8.5	12.9
500.00	250.00	8.5	15.2
250.00	500.00	8.5	14.1
250.00	250.00	8.6	15.1

[0025] The results from the zero pass are shown graphically in Figure 1, which shows MFI as a function of trisnonylphenol phosphite and tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite levels. It is known to typically add as much as 500 ppm of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite to polypropylene homopolymer (PPHP) as it is being extruded. However, it is possible to vary the amount of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite added. From the plot shown in Figure 1, it is shown that MFI variations may still occur. In the area where trisnonylphenol phosphite level is zero ppm, the slope of the line (or plot) is very steep. However, the data indicates that if approximately 150 ppm of trisnonylphenol phosphite were added, the slope of the line would flatten out dramatically. Thus, variation in MFI should be less with the addition of trisnonylphenol phosphite.

[0026] Figure 1, details a plot of MFI versus tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and trisnonylphenol phosphite levels following the zero pass of a multipass extrusion experiment. Note that Figure 1 shows two plots which are the same plots, only rotated differently to better show the points made in the text.

[0027] Figure 2, shows the results from the 1st pass of the multipass extrusion experiment. Recall that the 1st pass MFI is representative of a typical beam MFI at a customer site. Note that the slope of these plot is less than that discussed before. Thus, the data in this figure suggests that the addition of any amount (small or large) of trisnonylphenol phosphite should minimize MFI variability in the beam.

[0028] Based on these encouraging results, fiber spinning experiments were conducted.

Fiber spinning experiments

[0029] Polypropylene homopolymer powder (Run 22) was dry-blended with additives prior to spinning on the Hills pilot CF line. Fibers were produced on the Hills line at five different quench settings over the full air volume flow rate range achievable on that line. Processing conditions are detailed in Table I.

Fiber Spinning Results: Initial Fiber MFI and Tenacity Study

[0030] An initial spinning study was conducted to assess the effect of adding a small concentration of trisnonylphenol phosphite to a typical fiber spinning stabilization package. The formulation consisted of 75 ppm octadecyl 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate sprayed onto the powder during plant production and an additional 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite, which was dry-blended with the powder prior to spinning. Octadecyl 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate is commercially available from Ciba Specialty Chemicals under the tradename Irganox 1076. Three distinct materials were evaluated: (1) the powder as supplied (75 ppm octadecyl 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate and no tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite); (2) the powder as supplied and dry-blended with 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite; (3) powder as supplied and dry-blended with 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite.

[0031] Figure 3, shows the melt flow measured for fibers produced at various quench air speeds (reported as potentiometer settings.) Figure 4 shows the tenacity measured for fibers produced at the various quench air speeds. For each of the formulations, fiber melt flow decreases and tenacity increases as quench air flow rate increases. This result is expected because the faster the air flow, the more rapid the quench from molten to solid plastic. The solid plastic is less susceptible than to oxidative degradation because oxygen diffusion is slower in a solid than in a molten material.

[0032] For the formulation containing no tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite melt processing stabilizer, fiber melt flow values are much higher (and fiber tenacity lower) at low quench air flow

rates than those of materials containing tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite. Of the two formulations containing tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite, the formulation that also contains trisnonylphenol phosphite has significantly lower MFI's and higher fiber tenacities at the 400 and 600 pot settings compared to the formulation containing no trisnonylphenol phosphite. To assess whether the difference is due to the presence of trisnonylphenol phosphite or is simply attributable to the additional phosphite, follow-up spinning experiments have been conducted as described below.

Fiber Spinning Results: Follow-up Fiber MFI and Tenacity Study

[0033] To test whether trisnonylphenol phosphite leads to a reduction in fiber MFI (and corresponding increase in fiber tenacity) compared to an equivalent amount of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite, the following study was conducted. Three materials were evaluated. Each contained 75 ppm octadecyl 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate that was applied during plant production. To the first, 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite was added. To the second, 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite was added. To the third, 600 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite was added.

[0034] For the processing conditions used herein, fiber spinning was extremely difficult for all of the samples. Die drool and blowouts caused numerous breaks. As a result, the fiber samples that were obtained and tested for MFI and tenacity were small. In the case of the material containing 600 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite, the sample could not be taken into the aspirator gun without breaks at the die face, so no fibers could be obtained for tenacity measurements.

[0035] The results measured for the samples obtained are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows the melt flow measured for fibers produced at various quench air speeds (reported as potentiometer settings.) Figure 6 shows the tenacity measured for fibers produced at the various quench air speeds. At each quench speed tested, the material containing 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite and 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite had a lower fiber MFI than the material containing 600 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite. This suggests that the trisnonylphenol phosphite provides improved stabilization compared to an equivalent amount of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite. This difference may be due to chemical differences in the two phosphites, or it may be attributable to the fact that trisnonylphenol phosphite is a liquid and provides more intimate mixing with the PP powder than the tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite powder.

[0036] Interestingly, the materials containing only 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite and no tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite exhibited lower fiber MFI's at the low quench air rates than either the material containing 600 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite or the material

containing a mixture of 500 ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and 100 ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite. This result suggest that a stabilization system that uses trisnonylphenol phosphite as a stand alone melt processing stabilizer may be more efficient than a combination of trisnonylphenol phosphite and tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite.

[0037] As shown in Figure 6, fiber tenacity increases as fiber MFI decreases. Thus, at a given set of fiber processing conditions, materials processed with a stabilization system that leads to lower fiber MFI also exhibit higher tenacities.

Maximum Spinning Speed Results

[0038] The results of the maximum spinning speed tests for both spin trials are reported in Table IV. The materials containing no trisnonylphenol phosphite did not break at spinning speeds up to 2625 mpm, the maximum capability of the machine. All of the materials that contained trisnonylphenol phosphite broke at spinning speeds less than 2625 mpm. The material that contained 600 parts trisnonylphenol phosphite could not be loaded onto a Godet roll for testing due to excessive breaks at the die. As discussed above, fiber spinning was extremely difficult for all of the samples for the processing conditions used herein.

Table IV
Maximum Spinning Speed Results

Trial date	ppm tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl) phosphite	ppm trisnonylphenol phosphite	Max Spin Speed (mpm)	St Dev (mpm)
5/8/00	0	0	>2525	
5/8/00	500	0	>2625	
5/8/00	500	100	2124	257
8/30/00	500	100	1931	542
8/30/00	0	100	1471	80
8/30/00	0	600	N/A	

[0039] The solubility study demonstrates that trisnonylphenol phosphite is soluble in mineral oil at the temperature of the solution that is currently used for plant application of octadecyl 3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate to powder. This study shows the feasibility of adding trisnonylphenol phosphite to the powder in the steamer.

[0040] The multi-pass extrusion study demonstrates that trisnonylphenol phosphite behaves very much like tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite as a melt processing stabilizer. A designed experiment and statistical analysis show that equal amounts of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite and trisnonylphenol phosphite provide roughly equivalent MFI stability.

[0041] Finally, Hills lines CF experiments demonstrate that adding trisnonylphenol phosphite to polypropylene homopolymer powder prior to fiber spinning generally leads to lower fiber MFI's (at identical quench air rates) than those observed for materials containing only tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite or no melt processing stabilizer. Materials containing trisnonylphenol phosphite exhibited lower maximum spinning speeds than materials containing no trisnonylphenol phosphite under the spinning conditions used here.

[0042] Although the present invention has been described in conjunction with preferred embodiments thereof, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that many modifications and variations may be made. The following claims are intended to cover all such modifications and variations.